

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2 W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/051,688	01/22/2002	Cynthia A. Riggall	00-5520	1336
7	590 10/01/2004		EXAM	INER
William M. Hobby, III			MATHEW, FENN C	
Suite 375 157 E. New England Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Winter Park, FL 32789			3764	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		$\mathcal{A}_{\cdot}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/051,688	RIGGALL, CYNTHIA A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fenn C Mathew	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2002.					
,						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 U.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	u alandian manufarmant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he dath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ing Nie				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed III tills National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Ex  Alaska as Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/051,688

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Helenick (U.S. 6,141,801) in view of Fabry (U.S. 5,345,609). Helenick teaches a glove having a first and second half, the first and second half having a plurality of openings having a viscous material (gel). Helenick further teaches that different gels may be utilized including those that have liquid character (col. 10, lines 18-68). Helenick teaches the claimed invention except for attaching means to attach the first half of the glove to the second half. Fabry teaches a glove having a first and second half with a plurality of cells, and further teaches a hook and loop fastener system to attach the first half of the glove to the second half of the glove. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide hook and loop attaching means as taught by Fabry to the glove of Helenick in order to allow a user to better secure or tighten the first and second glove halves about the hand of a user. Regarding claim 2, Helenick as modified by Fabry above fails to teach the use of viscous clay. Absent disclosure as to the criticality of the claimed material, the specific material chosen would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/051,688

Art Unit: 3764

invention as the skilled artisan would select a material based on its suitability for intended use absent unexpected or undesired results. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Granich U.S. 5,557,803

Colasante U.S. 5,070,223

Johnson U.S. 5,218,719

Tourbier U.S. 6,430,751

Estwanik U.S. 6,093,165

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C Mathew whose telephone number is (703) 305-2846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,688

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fcm

September 22, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**